CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING WEST VOLUSIA HOSPITAL AUTHORITY RANKING WORKSHOP MAY 9, 2023 5:30 PM THE CHISHOLM CENTER 520 S. CLARA AVE. DELAND, FL

AGENDA

Call to Order / Pledge of Allegiance & Opening Observan	30	Call to Order	Pledge of All	egiance & (Opening	Observano
---	----	---------------	---------------	-------------	---------	-----------

- 40 Approval of Agenda
- Citizens Comments (Limited to a total time allotment of 30 minutes & 3 minutes per speaker)
- 60 Cr tknHealthCare Expenditures FY 2022-2023
- 70 Former CAC Member Dr. Jenneffer Pulapaka Review Process for Ranking
- 80 CAC Tentative Ranking and Discussion for Funding Applicants
 - c0 Florida Department of Health Dental Services
 - d0 The Neighborhood Center Outreach-Access to Care
 - e0 The House Next Door Therapeutic Services
 - f 0 Community Legal Services of Mid-Florida
 - g0 Hispanic Health Initiative Taking Care of My Health
 - h) SMA Residential Treatment Services
 - i 0 SMA Psychiatric/Homeless Services
 - j 0 SMA Baker Act
 - 10 Healthy Start Coalition of Flagler & Volusia (HSCFV) Outreach
 - 10 HSCFV Family Services Coordinator
 - no Rising Against All Odds HIV/Aids/Outreach
 - no Creative Living, Inc.
 - o 0 Foundations to Freedom
- 90 CAC Comments
- : 0 Adjournment

If any person decides to appeal any decision made by the CAC with respect to any matter considered at this meeting or hearing he/she will need a record of the proceedings, and for such purpose he/she may need to ensure that a verbatim record of the proceedings is made, which record includes the testimony and evidence upon which the appeal is to be based (FS 286.0105). Individuals with disabilities needing assistance to participate in any of these proceedings should contact the WVHA Administrator at least three (3) working days in advance of the meeting date and time at (386) 626-4870.

West Volusia Hospital Authority Budget vs. Actuals: Budget FYE 9.30.23 - FY23 P&L October 2022 - September 2023

 Γο	ta

		10	taı		
	 Actual	Budget		over Budget	% of Budget
Income	44 000 404 00	45.000.000.00		4 500 000 00	00.450/
001.000.3110 Ad Valorem Taxes	14,333,191.00	15,900,000.00		-1,566,809.00	90.15%
001.000.3611 Investment Income	 129,461.58	 45,000.00		84,461.58	287.69%
Total Income	\$ 14,462,652.58	15,945,000.00		1,482,347.42	90.70%
Gross Profit	\$ 14,462,652.58	\$ 15,945,000.00	-\$	1,482,347.42	90.70%
Expenses					
001.562.2001 Salaries	32,550.00	65,588.00		-33,038.00	49.63%
001.562.2101 FICA	2,721.08	5,017.00		-2,295.92	54.24%
001.562.2201 Retirement	2,820.01	8,467.00		-5,646.99	33.31%
001.562.2301 Life & Health Insurance	6,011.71	12,000.00		-5,988.29	50.10%
001.562.2401 Workers Compensation Claims	9,763.79	25,000.00		-15,236.21	39.06%
001.562.3101 Legal Counsel	36,997.01	85,000.00		-48,002.99	43.53%
001.562.3103 Outside Legal Consulting	42,000.00	72,000.00		-30,000.00	58.33%
001.562.3104 Outside Legislative Advisory	42,000.00	72,000.00		-30,000.00	58.33%
001.562.3201 Annual Independent Audit	19,170.00	20,000.00		-830.00	95.85%
001.562.3202 General Accounting	54,000.00	108,000.00		-54,000.00	50.00%
001.562.3400.22 Specialty Care Services - ER - FY22	23,019.82			23,019.82	
001.562.3400.23 Specialty Care Services - ER - FY23	29,393.30			29,393.30	
001.562.3401.22 Specialty Care Services - Non ER - FY22	267,934.40			267,934.40	
001.562.3401.23 Specialty Care Services - Non ER - FY23	1,565,094.19	3,000,000.00		-1,434,905.81	52.17%
001.562.3403.23 Emergency Room Care - FY23 Funding	408,981.84	1,000,000.00		-591,018.16	40.90%
001.562.3404 Florida Dept of Health Dental S	63,616.48	150,000.00		-86,383.52	42.41%
001.562.3406 Hispanic Health Initiatives	34,025.00	75,000.00		-40,975.00	45.37%
001.562.3407 Community Legal Services	32,866.15	105,794.00		-72,927.85	31.07%
001.562.3408 Rising Against All Odds	102,987.50	145,140.00		-42,152.50	70.96%
001.562.3409 Healthy Communities Kid Care Ou	32,373.93	72,202.00		-39,828.07	44.84%
001.562.3410.22 Halifax Hospital - FY22 Funding	46,619.55			46,619.55	
001.562.3410.23 Halifax Hospital - FY23 Funding	527,945.59	1,500,000.00		-972,054.41	35.20%
001.562.3411.22 AdventHealth - FY22 Funding	116,388.05			116,388.05	
001.562.3411.23 AdventHealth - FY23 Funding	865,869.47	1,500,000.00		-634,130.53	57.72%
001.562.3412 Application Screening - THND	220,381.98	447,364.00		-226,982.02	49.26%
001.562.3413 Application Screening - RAAO	20,352.00	81,452.00		-61,100.00	24.99%
001.562.3421 TPA Services	184,440.32	682,000.00		-497,559.68	27.04%
001.562.3430 Primary Care	1,241,706.24	2,500,000.00		-1,258,293.76	49.67%
001.562.3432 Pharmacy	260,144.89	900,000.00		-639,855.11	28.90%
001.562.3440 HSCFV - Outreach	40,778.40	81,560.00		-40,781.60	50.00%
001.562.3441 HSCFV - Fam Services	27,318.32	76,331.00		-49,012.68	35.79%
001.562.3450 The House Next Door	13,747.92	60,000.00		-46,252.08	22.91%
001.562.3460 SMA - Homeless Program	56,678.20	78,336.00		-21,657.80	72.35%
001.562.3461 SMA - Residential Treatment	314,926.46	550,000.00		-235,073.54	57.26%
001.562.3462 SMA - Baker Act - Match	277,645.01	300,000.00		-22,354.99	92.55%
001.562.3480 H C R A - In County	20,399.19	400,000.00		-379,600.81	5.10%
001.562.3481 H C R A - Outside County	9,066.97	400,000.00		-390,933.03	2.27%
001.562.3490 The Neighborhood Center	58,600.00	100,000.00		-41,400.00	58.60%
001.562.3499 Other Healthcare Expenditures		370,000.00		-370,000.00	0.00%
001.562.4602 Building Occupancy Costs		100,000.00		-100,000.00	0.00%
001.562.4801 Advertising	2,402.90	10,000.00		-7,597.10	24.03%
001.562.4901 Other Operating Expenditures	24,047.56	30,000.00		-5,952.44	80.16%
001.562.5101 Office Supplies	,-	6,749.00		-6,749.00	0.00%
001.562.9101 Tax Collector & Appraiser Fee	427,619.32	650,000.00		-222,380.68	65.79%
001.562.9102 City of DeLand Tax Increment Di	111,805.15	100,000.00		11,805.15	111.81%
Total Expenses	\$ 7,677,209.70	\$ 15,945,000.00	-\$	8,267,790.30	48.15%
Net Operating Income	\$ 6,785,442.88	0.00		6,785,442.88	
Net Income	\$ 6,785,442.88	0.00		6,785,442.88	

2023-2024 Funding Applications received by date and time

	Date		Amount		
Agency name-CAC Review	Received	Time	Requested	Last Year	Difference +/-
Florida Department of Health (FDOH) Dental Services	3/30/2023	11:00 AM	150,000.00	150,000.00	0.00
The Neighborhood Center (TNC) Outreach-Access to Care	3/30/2023	8:30 AM	125,000.00	100,000.00	25,000.00
The House Next Door (THND) Therapeutic Services	4/6/2023	9:30 AM	45,000.00	60,000.00	-15,000.00
SMA Baker Act	4/4/2023	8:35 AM	300,000.00	300,000.00	0.00
SMA Psychiatric/Homeless Services	4/4/2023	8:35 AM	90,000.00	78,336.00	11,664.00
SMA Residential Treatment Beds	4/4/2023	8:35 AM	550,000.00	550,000.00	0.00
Community Legal Services of Mid-Florida (CLSMF) MLP Services	4/4/2023	3:20 PM	105,833.00	105,794.00	39.00
Healthy Start Coalition of Flagler & Volusia (HSCFV) Outreach	4/6/2023	10:12 AM	81,560.00	81,560.00	0.00
HSCFV FSC	4/6/2023	10:12 AM	76,331.00	76,331.00	0.00
Creative Living Inc.	4/6/2023	9:40 AM	100,000.00	0.00	100,000.00
Foundations to Freedom, Inc.	4/6/2023	11:46 AM	250,000.00	0.00	250,000.00
Hispanic Health Initiative (HHI)	4/6/2023	9:45 AM	75,000.00	75,000.00	0.00
Rising Against All Odds (RAAO) HIV/Aids/Outreach	4/5/2023	4:11 PM	167,682.52	145,140.00	22,542.52
Sub-Total			2,116,406.52	1,722,161.00	394,245.52

	Date		Amount		
Administrative Applications-Board of Commissioner Review	Received	Time	Requested	Last Year	Difference +/-
THND HealthCard Program	4/6/2023	9:30 AM	521,989.00	464,885.00	57,104.00
RAAO Prescreening	4/5/2023	4:11 PM	86,746.38	81,452.00	5,294.38
Healthy Communities Outreach	4/5/2023	4:00 PM	72,202.52	72,202.52	0.00
Sub-Total			680.937.90	618.539.52	62.398.38

Combined Totals <u>2,797,344.42 2,340,700.52 456,643.90</u>

	NGO#
NGO:	QUESTIONs:
1: Have you attracted new people and new ideas?	
2: What agency, that's seeking funding, are you MOST like?	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	S: What is the DONATION USED FOR? Note in many cases it is simply not clear to donors
·	used for educational/awareness campaigns, as opposed to providing direct services.
% educational/awareness campaigns	
% direct services	
	
	n thic year ic VEW tor NI_I is evaluated. I harities that get most of their denations as gift
inkind tend to do better her	e this year is 85% for NGOs evaluated. Charities that get most of their donations as gifter, because the individual gifts are larger and minimal or no fundraising expense. BBB 65% ,
inkind tend to do better her	•
inkind tend to do better here Forbes 71%.	•
inkind tend to do better here Forbes 71%. SERVICE Expenses TOTAL Expenses	e, because the individual gifts are larger and minimal or no fundraising expense. BBB 65%,
inkind tend to do better here Forbes 71%. SERVICE Expenses TOTAL Expenses CHARITABLE COMMITMENT	e, because the individual gifts are larger and minimal or no fundraising expense. BBB 65%,
inkind tend to do better here Forbes 71%. SERVICE Expenses TOTAL Expenses	e, because the individual gifts are larger and minimal or no fundraising expense. BBB 65%,
inkind tend to do better here Forbes 71%. SERVICE Expenses TOTAL Expenses CHARITABLE COMMITMENT NOTES:	e, because the individual gifts are larger and minimal or no fundraising expense. BBB 65% ,
inkind tend to do better here Forbes 71%. SERVICE Expenses TOTAL Expenses CHARITABLE COMMITMENT NOTES: FUNDRAISING EFFICIENCY:	e, because the individual gifts are larger and minimal or no fundraising expense. BBB 65%, This shows the percentage of private donations left after subtracting the costs of getting
inkind tend to do better here Forbes 71%. SERVICE Expenses TOTAL Expenses CHARITABLE COMMITMENT NOTES: FUNDRAISING EFFICIENCY: them. The average for all 10	e, because the individual gifts are larger and minimal or no fundraising expense. BBB 65% ,
inkind tend to do better here Forbes 71%. SERVICE Expenses TOTAL Expenses CHARITABLE COMMITMENT NOTES: FUNDRAISING EFFICIENCY: them. The average for all 10 But this is an average of wild larger donations and perhap	This shows the percentage of private donations left after subtracting the costs of getting 0 charities is 89%, meaning that it cost 11 cents to raise \$1. Illy differing kinds of charities with wildly different fundraising tactics. Relying on fewer but os expansive valuations, some gift-in-kind charities look very efficient, with ratios of 100%
inkind tend to do better here Forbes 71%. SERVICE Expenses TOTAL Expenses CHARITABLE COMMITMENT NOTES: FUNDRAISING EFFICIENCY: them. The average for all 10 But this is an average of wild larger donations and perhap (rounded) or very close. At t	This shows the percentage of private donations left after subtracting the costs of getting 0 charities is 89%, meaning that it cost 11 cents to raise \$1. Ily differing kinds of charities with wildly different fundraising tactics. Relying on fewer but as expansive valuations, some gift-in-kind charities look very efficient, with ratios of 100% the other end are charities that use expensive direct-mail and telephone solicitation. The
inkind tend to do better here Forbes 71%. SERVICE Expenses TOTAL Expenses CHARITABLE COMMITMENT NOTES: FUNDRAISING EFFICIENCY: them. The average for all 10 But this is an average of wild larger donations and perhap	This shows the percentage of private donations left after subtracting the costs of getting 0 charities is 89%, meaning that it cost 11 cents to raise \$1. Ily differing kinds of charities with wildly different fundraising tactics. Relying on fewer but as expansive valuations, some gift-in-kind charities look very efficient, with ratios of 100% the other end are charities that use expensive direct-mail and telephone solicitation. The
inkind tend to do better here Forbes 71%. SERVICE Expenses TOTAL Expenses CHARITABLE COMMITMENT NOTES: FUNDRAISING EFFICIENCY: them. The average for all 10 But this is an average of wild larger donations and perhap (rounded) or very close. At t	This shows the percentage of private donations left after subtracting the costs of getting 0 charities is 89%, meaning that it cost 11 cents to raise \$1. Illy differing kinds of charities with wildly different fundraising tactics. Relying on fewer but os expansive valuations, some gift-in-kind charities look very efficient, with ratios of 100% he other end are charities that use expensive direct-mail and telephone solicitation. The IBB 65%, Forbes at 70%.

		NGO#
PROJECT EFFICIENCY		
Total fundraising expenses/t	otal related contributions f	MAX 35% Project
FUNDING Expenses/Costs		
TOTAL Contributions		
	·	
Project B		
FUNDING Expenses/Costs		
TOTAL Contributions		
Notes:		
DONOR DEPENDENCY: This	measures how hadly NGO	needed contribution to break even. A ratio of 100% means
	•	means the charity had more expenses than revenue. It's also
	=	rity (often a hospital/museum) had an annual surplus greater
than all private donations. ic	e 86%, meaning that the typ	pical charity was able to bank 14% of donations for the future. It's
HIGHLY sensitive to investm	ent results and varies wildly	y from year to year.
VARIATION: If the goal is to	give to poor and needy cha	rities, a rating above 100 might be considered good. On the other
		their own, a rating below 100 might be viewed as better.
TOTAL Expenses/Liability		
TOTAL Revenue/Assets		
		,
DONOR DEPENDENCY:		
Notes:		
Dependency Quotient . This	figure measures risk and ho	ow dependent an organization is on its top donors. It can be
	=	the organization's three largest donors and dividing it by total
	-	ne more resilient the organization. For example, if an
organization's five largest do	onors contributed \$250,000	and total expenditures were \$1 million – then the dependency
· ·		ors were to change priorities, the organization would have to
replace a quarter of its budg	get.	7
#1 Donor Contribution		

#1 Donor Contribution	
#2 Donor Contribution	
#3 Donor Contribution	
TOTAL Expenses/Liability	

Notes:

	NGO#
FLAGS:	
Follow-up: Questions or Documentation	
My needs help, they live in information to give to them. *They are safe right now*.	I 'm just getting
What options do you offer?	Did they want to get your # and call you back? or want you to call back?
Any free services or community aid that might help?	Did they direct you to THND?
Did they offer to help?	Did they direct you to another source?
Did they let you hang up?	Did they tell you they have to live in W Volusia?
CALL QUESTIONS:	
Date/Time:	
Caller:	
Notes:	
Date/Time:	
Caller:	
Notes:	
ON SITE QUESTIONS:	
Date/Time:	
Person:	
Notes:	
Date/Time:	
Person:	
Notes:	

SUMMARY:

Recommendation:

Full Funding	Partial Funding	Partial Funding -other	No Funding

WVHA/CAC Ranking Sheet	
CAC Member:	
Please write your answers below for questions A), B), or C) if it were up to you would you:	
A) Fund this applicant at 100% of the requested amount, why:B) Not fund this applicant, why:	
C) Fund this applicant but not at the requested amount but fund the amount of	
\$, why: Please provide detailed explainations for your rankings in the spaces below each Agency Name APPLICANTS APPLYING FOR 2023-2024 FUNDING	
	A, B or C
Florida Department of Health Dental Services \$150,000.00	
	-
	1
]
The Neighborhood Center Outreach-Access to Care \$125,000.00	
	-
	1
The House Next Door Therapeutic \$45,000.00	
	-
Community Legal Services of Mid-Florida \$105,833	
	1
]

Hispanic Health Initiati		
SMA Residential \$550,0	000.00	
SMA Psychiatric/Home	less \$90,000.00	
SMA Baker Act \$300,00	00.00	
OWA Baker Act 4000,00		
Healthy Start Coalition	of Flagler & Volusia (HSCFV) Outreach \$81,560.00	
HOOFY Family Campias	- O - andinaton #70 224 20	
HSCFV Family Services	s Coordinator \$76,331.00	

Rising Against All Odds \$167,682	.52		
			-
Creative Living, Inc. \$100,000			
<u> </u>			
]
			_
			4
Foundations to Freedom \$250,00	00		
, , , ,			
			4
			4
1			